DAVID L. HENKIN #6876 ISAAC H. MORIWAKE #7141 EARTHJUSTICE 223 South King Street, Suite 400 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813 Telephone No.: (808) 599-2436

Fax No.: (808) 521-6841

Email: dhenkin@earthjustice.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAI'I

'ĪLIO'ULAOKALANI COALITION, a )	Civil No. 04-00502 DAE BMK
Hawai'i nonprofit corporation; NĀ 'IMI )	
PONO, a Hawai'i unincorporated )	PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF UNCITED
association; and KĪPUKA, a Hawai'i )	<b>AUTHORITIES</b> ; COPIES OF
unincorporated association,	UNCITED AUTHORITIES;
)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Plaintiffs,	
)	<u>Hearing</u> :
v. )	
)	Date: November 16, 2006
DONALD H. RUMSFELD, Secretary of)	Time: 9:30 a.m.
Defense; and FRANCIS J. HARVEY, )	Judge: Hon. Barry M. Kurren
Secretary of the United States )	
Department of the Army,	
)	
Defendants.	
)	
)	

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF UNCITED AUTHORITIES

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.8, plaintiffs 'Īlio'ulaokalani Coalition, Nā 'Imi Pono, and Kīpuka hereby provide notice that they intend to rely on the following previously uncited authorities (attached) at the hearing on their Motion To File Second Amended Complaint And To Join Necessary Parties, which shall come on for hearing before the Honorable Barry M. Kurren, Magistrate Judge of the United States District Court for the District of Hawai'i, on November 16, 2006, at 9:30 a.m. or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, in his Courtroom in the United States Courthouse, Prince Jonah Kūhiō Kalaniana'ole Federal Building, 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Honolulu, Hawai'i:

- 1. <u>Kern Oil & Refining Co. v. Tenneco Oil Co.</u>, 840 F.2d 730, 734 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 488 U.S. 948 (1988) (divestiture of jurisdiction upon appeal is "judge-made doctrine designed to avoid the confusion and waste of time that might flow from putting the same issues before two courts at the same time. It should not be employed to defeat its purposes nor to induce needless paper shuffling.");
- 2. <u>Davis v. United States</u>, 667 F.2d 822, 824 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982) (prior to issuance of mandate, "[t]he district court may act to assist the court of appeals in the exercise of its jurisdiction");
- 3. <u>'Īlio'ulaokalani Coalition v. Rumsfeld</u>, No. 05-15915, slip op. at 2-3 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. Oct. 27, 2006) (Order) (remanding to district court "for the purpose of determining the appropriate scope of an interim injunction

- ... pending [the Army's] compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 ('NEPA')");
- 4. <u>Sierra Club v. Marsh</u>, 872 F.2d 497, 500-01 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1989) (injunction proper in NEPA cases to prevent government from committing to particular course of action);
- 5. Desert Citizens Against Pollution v. Bisson, 231 F.3d 1172, 1175, 1187-88 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000) (in lawsuit brought pursuant to Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), court has discretion to set aside federal agency's acquisition of over 2,600 acres of land);
- Muckleshoot Indian Tribe v. U.S. Forest Service, 177 F.3d 800, 804,
   814-15 (9th Cir. 1999) (in NEPA case, court authorized to set aside federal agency's acquisition of over 32,000 acres of land);
- 7. Stand Together Against Neighborhood Decay, Inc. v. Board of

  Estimate, 690 F. Supp. 1192, 1200 (E.D.N.Y. 1988) (in NEPA case involving condemnation, "title ... can be returned whence it came by order of the Court");
- 8. <u>United States v. 1,402 Acres of Land, No. 05-15858, slip op. at 2 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. Oct. 5, 2006) (appeal involved claim Army's NEPA violation deprived it of congressional authorization to condemn);</u>
- 9. Federal Appellees' Brief at 17-18, <u>United States v. 1,402 Acres of Land</u>, No. 05-15858 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir.) ("A court's ability to fashion an

equitable remedy in a direct NEPA challenge to an agency action pursuant to the [APA] may include the ability to enjoin that action, including any condemnation of land to be undertaken as part of that action;" "the question in a NEPA lawsuit, once a violation of NEPA has been found, is whether any part of the project – including condemnation – will prejudice the agency's environmental analysis in further violation of NEPA");

- 10. National Forest Preservation Group v. Butz, 485 F.2d 408, 411 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1973) (in NEPA case, court has equitable discretion to set aside land transactions that occur "after a defendant has been notified of the pendency of a suit seeking an injunction against him, even though a temporary injunction has not been granted");
- 11. <u>Burbank Anti-Noise Group v. Goldschmidt</u>, 623 F.2d 115, 116 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980), <u>cert. denied</u>, 450 U.S. 965 (1981) (if NEPA violated, court can order transfer of title to original owner);
- 12. <u>National Audubon Soc'y v. Department of Navy</u>, 422 F.3d 174, 202-07 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005) (enjoining Navy's condemnation of land pending compliance with NEPA);
- 13. <u>'Īlio'ulaokalani Coalition v. Rumsfeld</u>, No. 05-15915, slip op. at 3, 8

  (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. Oct. 27, 2006) (Addendum to Order Granting Temporary

  Injunction and Remanding) (rejecting Army's claims that allegedly

- "'critical' [Stryker-related] activities are 'critical;" noting cultural harm from Army's "land acquisition");
- 14. 32 C.F.R. § 651.11(d) (NEPA analysis required for all Army actions that are not exempt by law, emergencies or categorically excluded);
- 15. 32 C.F.R. pt. 651, app. B, § II(f)(5) (exempting Army's land acquisitions from NEPA review only if "the land use will not change substantially or where the land acquired will not exceed 40 acres");
- 16. Public Access Shoreline Hawaii v. Hawai'i County Planning

  Comm'n, 79 Haw. 425, 437-51, 908 P.2d 1246, 1258-72 (1995)

  (Hawai'i's constitution and statutes protect exercise of traditional and customary rights on private property); and
- 17. Joint Stipulation And Order To Resolve The Need For Certain

  Discovery Until After A Decision On The Merits Is Reached,

  'Īlio'ulaokalani Coalition v. Rumsfeld, Civ. No. 04-00502 DAE BMK

  (D. Haw. Nov. 22, 2004) (bifurcating merits and remedy phases of case; deferring remedy-related discovery until after a finding the Army violated NEPA).

DATED at Honolulu, Hawai'i, November 15, 2006.

**EARTHJUSTICE** 223 South King Street, Suite 400 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

By: /s/ David L. Henkin

David L. Henkin

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

<sup>&#</sup>x27;<u>Īlio</u>'ulaokalani Coalition, et al., v. Rumsfeld, et al., Civ. No. 04-00502 DAE BMK (D. Haw.); PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF UNCITED AUTHORITIES